

Integrated Climate Adaptation and Resiliency Program (ICARP)

Agenda Item 7 | Workshop Session - Tracking Adaptation Progress

Technical Advisory Council

April 2, 2018 | San Francisco, CA



Workshop Overview

Session 1	Evaluation Framework – Assessing Adaptation Progress
1-2pm	 Presentations: background and proposed Evaluation Framework, example of current pilot application (MTC/ABAG) Breakout session: review and discuss proposed framework Group discussion: report out on feedback

Session 2 (2-3:30pm)

Applying the Framework to existing planning processes

- Presentations: quick overview of existing planning processes (state and local)
- Breakout session: How to apply framework to current planning processes, feedback on evaluation questions
- **Group discussion:** report out on feedback



Evaluation Framework - background

ICARP Technical Advisory Council (TAC) – Vision Framework

TAC kickoff meeting (March 2017): Identified a need to establish a clear vision for a resilient California

What are we working towards and how should we get there?

Progress to date

- Adopted Vision and Principles, Fall 2017 (handout)
 - ✓ Incorporated into 2018 Safeguarding Update
 - ✓ Informed other state-wide adaptation efforts

Next Steps

- Develop an evaluation framework (process) to track progress over time
- 2018 Baseline report as starting point for ongoing tracking and evaluation

How do we assess and track progress at achieving our vision of a resilient California?



<u>Evaluation Framework – previous/current applications</u>

- Framework developed as part of the Third California Climate Assessment
 - Moser, Ekstrom, Torn (2011). Barriers to Climate Change Adaptation: A Diagnostic Framework
- Previous efforts
 - Bay Area "barriers" case study reports (multiple 2012-2015)
 - Water provider climate preparedness study (2017)
- Current efforts
 - Fourth Assessment Technical Reports, assessing institutional and financial barriers (multiple)
 - Regional Resilience Framework



Common phases of the adaptation decision-making process

- 1. Understanding the problem (awareness)
- 2. Planning adaptation actions (Analysis)
- Managing implementation of selected actions (Action)





Proposed Framework – example

Example: Regional Resilience Framework



Proposed Framework - goals

Evaluation Goals

- Establish common evaluation approach, support state and local partners
- Assess progress over time
 - Are we moving from planning to implementation?
 - Are we seeing common sticking points?
- Inform efforts to identify actions to accelerate the transition from planning to implementation in California





Workshop Session 1

Session 1 1-2pm

Evaluation Framework – Assessing Adaptation Progress

- Presentations: background and proposed Evaluation Framework, example of current pilot application (MTC/ABAG)
- Breakout session: review and discuss proposed framework (30 minutes)
 - ✓ Facilitator at each table
 - ✓ ID note taker key messages and points raised during discussion
- Group discussion: report out on feedback (15 minutes)



Proposed Framework - Awareness

<u>Awareness Process Steps</u>

- 1. Detect the problem
- 2. Gathering information to improve understanding
- 3. Redefining the problem based on improved understanding





Analysis Process Steps

- 1. Develop options
- 2. Assess options
- 3. Select option(s)





Action Process Steps

- 1. Implement options
- 2. Monitor option (output) and environment (outcome)
- 3. Evaluate

Start again...





Evaluation Framework - application

Session 2: Applying the framework to existing planning processes

Evaluate existing planning processes, where there is currently data/information available

- Local Coastal Programs
- Local Hazard Mitigation Plans
- State sustainability Roadmaps
- General plans SB379 implementation
 - Limited information available for 2018, but want to include in future evaluations



Brief context setting presentations (20 minutes)



Workshop Overview

Session 2 (2-3:30pm)

Applying the Framework to existing planning processes

- Presentations: quick overview of existing planning processes (state and local)
- Breakout session: How to apply framework to current planning processes, feedback on evaluation questions (45 minutes)
 - ✓ ID a facilitator and note taker
 - ✓ Clusters by planning activity (General Plans, LHMPs, LCPs, State Sustainability Roadmaps), staff will answer plan specific questions.
- Group discussion: report out on feedback (15 minutes)



Discussion questions

- Is it important to develop plan-specific questions?
- Similarly, are there key questions that should be the same across all planning processes?
- In addition to identifying progress relative to the three phases in the evaluation framework, is it important to tease out the maturity of efforts?



Thank you!

Contact Information

Nuin-Tara Key
Governor's Office of Planning and Research
916.322.6079

Nuin-Tara.Key@opr.ca.gov